Singapore Science and Engineering Fair 2017 <u>Preliminary Judging</u> Criteria

| Science Projects | Engineering Projects |
|--|--|
| Research Question (10%) | Research Problem (10%) |
| clear and focused purpose identifies contribution to field of study testable using scientific methods | description of a practical need or problem to be solved definition of criteria for proposed solution explanation of constraints |
| Design and Methodology (20%) | Design and Methodology (20%) |
| well-designed plan and data collection methods variables and controls defined, appropriate and complete | exploration of alternatives to answer need or problem identification of a solution development of a prototype/model |
| Execution: Data Collection, Analysis and | Execution: Construction and Testing (25%) |
| systematic data collection and analysis reproducibility of results appropriate application of mathematical and statistical methods sufficient data collected to support interpretation and conclusions | prototype demonstrates intended design prototype has been tested in multiple conditions/trials prototype demonstrates engineering skill and completeness |
| Creativity (20%) | Creativity (20%) |
| project demonstrates significant creativity in one or more of the above criteria | project demonstrates significant creativity in one or more of the above criteria |
| Written Report (25%) | Written Report (25%) |
| review of scientific literature relevant to project understanding of basic science relevant to project understanding of interpretation and limitations of results and conclusions clear and concise writing logical organization of material clarity of graphics and legends recognition of potential impact in science, society and/or economics quality of ideas for further research | review of scientific literature relevant to project understanding of basic science relevant to project understanding of interpretation and limitations of results and conclusions clear and concise writing logical organization of material clarity of graphics and legends recognition of potential impact in science, society and/or economics quality of ideas for further research |

Description of each criterion is adapted from Intel ISEF. Updated as of 9 Oct 2014

Singapore Science and Engineering Fair 2017 <u>Final Judging</u> Criteria

| Science Projects | Engineering Projects |
|--|--|
| Research Question (10%) | Research Problem (10%) |
| clear and focused purpose identifies contribution to field of study testable using scientific methods | description of a practical need or problem to be solved definition of criteria for proposed solution explanation of constraints |
| Design and Methodology (20%) well-designed plan and data collection methods variables and controls defined, appropriate and complete | Design and Methodology (20%) exploration of alternatives to answer need or problem identification of a solution development of a prototype/model |
| Execution: Data Collection, Analysis and Interpretation (25%) systematic data collection and analysis reproducibility of results appropriate application of mathematical and statistical methods sufficient data collected to support interpretation and conclusions | Execution: Construction and Testing (25%) prototype demonstrates intended design prototype has been tested in multiple conditions/trials prototype demonstrates engineering skill and completeness |
| Creativity (20%) | Creativity (20%) |
| • project demonstrates significant creativity in one or more of the above criteria | project demonstrates significant creativity in one or more of the above criteria |
| Presentation (25%) | Presentation (25%) |
| a. Poster (7%) logical organization of material clarity of graphics and legends supporting documentation displayed b. Interview (18%) | a. Poster (7%) logical organization of material clarity of graphics and legends supporting documentation displayed b. Interview (18%) |
| clear, concise, thoughtful responses to questions understanding of basic science relevant to project understanding interpretation and limitations of results and conclusions degree of independence in conducting project recognition of potential impact in science, society and/or economics quality of ideas for further research for team projects, contributions to and understanding of project by all members | clear, concise, thoughtful responses to questions understanding of basic science relevant to project understanding interpretation and limitations of results and conclusions degree of independence in conducting project recognition of potential impact in science, society and/or economics quality of ideas for further research for team projects, contributions to and understanding of project by all members |

Description of each criterion is adapted from Intel ISEF. Updated as of 9 Oct 2014